Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/821,900	RAMET, MARC
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Huyen Le	3751
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Huyen Le</u> .	(3)	
(2) Steven Weirouch.	(4)	i
Date of Interview: 12 February 2008.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 24</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>it was acknowleged that the Advisory Action responded to the Amendment after final was a error. Claims 1 and 24 were amended to include limitations of claims 23 and 29 which do not include new issues. A new Office Action will follow.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
·		<i>,</i>
		•
		•
1		
,	10	
	Jugen Je	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if require	red